

Florida Gaming Control Commission

July 06, 2022

PHIPPS REPORTING
Raising the Bar!

July 06, 2022

STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA GAMING CONTROL COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PAGES 1 - 30

DATE TAKEN: Wednesday, July 6, 2022
TIME: 10:02 a.m. - 10:33 a.m.
PLACE: Via GoToMeeting Platform

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were stenographically reported by:

TRACY L. BROWN

Job No.: 259234

1 APPEARANCES:

2 (All parties appearing remotely)

3

John MacIver, Chair

4 Michael Yaworsky

Julie Brown

5 Chuck Drago

John D'Aquila

6 Louis Trombetta

Ross Marshman, Esquire

7

8

9

10

11

12 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

30

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Thereupon,

2 The following proceedings began at 10:02
3 a.m.:

4 MR. CHAIR: Call the meeting, the July 6th
5 limited agenda meeting of the Florida Gaming
6 Control Commission to order.

7 Good morning, everybody. I do still want
8 to start off just with a few housekeeping notes
9 for everybody. For those that are joining us,
10 because this is a limited agenda meeting,
11 things like adoption of the meeting minutes of
12 the last meeting and public comment on general
13 subject matter would be reserved for our next
14 regular business meeting of the Commission. We
15 will only address the items that are actually
16 on the select agenda for today's meeting.

17 With that said, I do still want to start
18 out with the Pledge.

19 Mr. Vice Chairman, would you be inclined
20 to lead us in a Pledge of Allegiance? I'm
21 hoping everybody has a visible flag somewhere.

22 MR. YAWORSKY: Absolutely. Be happy to.
23 We'll just proceed like this.

24 (Pledge of Allegiance.)

25 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman.

1 Commission members, with your lead, I
2 think it would be good if we were to go ahead
3 and just jump straight into the agenda unless
4 there's any objection or any other comments
5 that we feel are necessary before we start.
6 I'll defer to you all.

7 Hearing none, Mr. Trombetta, you have the
8 floor.

9 MR. TROMBETTA: Thank you, Chair.

10 Can everybody hear me okay? I should have
11 done that sooner, sorry.

12 Thank you, Chair. Thank you,
13 Commissioners. Obviously our first kind of
14 public meeting here, so -- doing it virtually.
15 So for everybody else, just kind of bear with
16 us as we kind of get through this.

17 So the first -- we have three items on the
18 agenda today. The first one has to do with the
19 director of the Division of Gaming Enforcement.
20 Second one has to do with tax credit transfer.
21 And the third one has to do sort of with an
22 internal issue involving payment of bar fees.

23 So as you requested, the first item on the
24 agenda is -- specifically deals with -- at the
25 last meeting, you had asked me to prepare an

1 advertisement for the director of Division of
2 Law Enforcement. We did that. We received
3 over 30 applications. I've reviewed all the
4 applications. And I'm going to be recommending
5 six people for kind of your initial round of
6 consideration. As a reminder, according to the
7 statute, this position is hired by the
8 Commission, so you're each able to include any
9 additional applicants into this pool beyond the
10 ones I'm going to mention.

11 I believe the Chair may be able to provide
12 a little bit more information about the process
13 after that. But my recommendations are as
14 follows, and I'll kind of go a little bit slow
15 if we need to take notes. So first -- and it's
16 not in order, so it's just kind of randomly.
17 I've just kind of gone through the resumes and
18 these are the six that I would put forward.
19 Timothy Cannon, Joseph Kozlina (phonetic), Carl
20 Harold, Michael Drake, Robert Yop (phonetic),
21 and Vincent A'civez (phonetic).

22 Chair, do you have any questions or do you
23 need me to clarify any further any of the
24 names?

25 MR. CHAIR: I don't. I will just touch on

1 process very briefly before I open it up for
2 questions and discussion.

3 So, again, as you said at the last
4 meeting, we had directed the executive director
5 to advertise the position and to review the
6 applications based on the criteria that we
7 identified. To be clear, what he is doing is
8 based on his own fact finding, just indicating
9 to us the folks that he thinks, as an initial
10 round of consideration, would be the people
11 that he might work best with.

12 I have taken the opportunity to speak with
13 each of the applicants who had turned in a
14 completed resume. And I'll tell you we had a
15 burden of abundance in this application
16 process. I spoke to a lot of incredibly highly
17 qualified law enforcement individuals, probably
18 25 out of 35 of which would have easily made an
19 outstanding director of gaming enforcement.

20 For the sake of our limited ability to
21 look closely at everyone, my feeling would be
22 that we could defer to the executive director's
23 recommendations on who he thinks we would work
24 with for our initial round of review.

25 To clarify what that initial round of

1 review would be is, Commissioners, you are each
2 independently within your purview to interview
3 or vet any of the applicants you want to your
4 satisfaction. And we will invite the -- the
5 initial round based upon your vote to a public
6 interview on the 27th where we'll really get
7 into their qualifications and maybe a selection
8 at that point.

9 With that, I'll open it up to any
10 questions for the executive director or any
11 discussion by the members.

12 MR. D'AQUILA: John D'Aquila here. I
13 would like to recommend that Lawrence Kelly be
14 added for consideration.

15 And I have a question for Executive
16 Director Trombetta. Can you explain the
17 balance of investigations experience and
18 management experience? If you can briefly --
19 you touched upon it briefly in the past
20 meeting. If you could just go over that one
21 more time.

22 MR. TROMBETTA: Yes, sir. So as you
23 mentioned, I provided in the last meeting -- I
24 think there was a bit of discussion among the
25 Commission about sort of what you guys were

1 looking for in candidates. When we drafted the
2 advertisement, we tried to capture that kind of
3 intent. And what I did then when I was looking
4 through the applicants and reviewing the
5 applications ahead of making these
6 recommendations, I was trying to balance both
7 the requirements. So we had minimums regarding
8 the time that they were serving as law
9 enforcement officers, time as a -- serving as
10 manager, and the need for someone that has
11 experience doing more complex investigation
12 work.

13 Now, from a going-forward perspective, I
14 also wanted to include good people that had
15 management experience because I think this is
16 kind of a unique role in that they're primarily
17 going to be helping us set up and run that
18 division initially. So the recommendations
19 that I made kind of capture a blend of people
20 with experience doing complex investigations
21 and people that have been able to manage at a
22 higher level other sworn law enforcement
23 officers or have acted on the operations or
24 administrative side or policy side in, you
25 know, law enforcement organizations.

1 MR. D'AQUILA: Thank you.

2 MR. DRAGO: I have one question if I
3 could, Chair.

4 Chairman -- did I cut you off, Julie?

5 MS. BROWN: I will defer to you.

6 MR. DRAGO: Sorry.

7 MR. CHAIR: Commissioner Drago.

8 MR. DRAGO: I have a question as to, I
9 guess, the process from here on in what we're
10 going to do here today. So if I understand,
11 Chairman, from what you just said, we would
12 take a list that we have here and either
13 interview the candidates or vet them some way
14 and then come back and present our selection at
15 the next meeting. Or would we be doing
16 interviews of these folks at the next meeting?
17 I'm just trying to clarify what our next step
18 will be.

19 MR. CHAIR: A little bit of combination of
20 the both. So, each commissioner, to the extent
21 that they desire to do so or to the extent that
22 they feel comfortable not doing so, to whatever
23 level makes each individual commissioner
24 comfortable, they can reach out to the
25 individual applicants, interview themselves,

1 review their background, vet to your
2 satisfaction. Then we will invite each of
3 these folks to the Commission meeting on the
4 27th for a public interview at which time each
5 commissioner will have obviously the
6 opportunity to directly question each
7 applicant. And then we'll open it up, as
8 always, for discussion amongst the Commission
9 members. And we'll take a vote if we find an
10 applicant that we all agree on. And if we
11 don't, we have not eliminated anybody from the
12 applicant pool.

13 Again, this is a recommendation of who we
14 should look at first, who we should interview
15 first. It is not an elimination of candidates
16 or a narrowing of the pool. This is us in a
17 public forum being able to tell each other who
18 we're going to look at first.

19 MR. DRAGO: I got you. Thank you.

20 I guess one other question is do we care
21 about the number that we come out of this
22 meeting with? And do we -- or do we want to
23 whittle it down somewhat? Or do we just want
24 to talk about whether we just want to move
25 forward, whatever the list is?

1 MR. CHAIR: I -- and for my own opinion, I
2 don't think we are hard pressed for a number
3 one way or the other. The guideline that I
4 would suggest is we can do as much as we think
5 is manageable. If the Commission members want
6 to narrow the list to four or five, if they
7 want to go with a full -- I think we're up to
8 seven names now, if we want to include
9 Mr. Kelly, as Commissioner D'Aquila has asked,
10 which I think we should accommodate, then we
11 can do so.

12 I don't think seven is too heavy of a lift
13 for us. But, again, I'm going to defer to all
14 the Commission members and what you all think
15 is manageable.

16 MR. DRAGO: Thank you, Chairman.

17 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Drago, for
18 those questions because I had a similar realm.
19 And I just -- I did want to add one additional
20 person. But the question that -- follow-up
21 from Commissioner Drago, Chair, is are we going
22 to pay for the travel of -- some of the folks
23 on the list that was just recommended, I think
24 one is from Illinois, are we going to -- do we
25 have funds to be able to accommodate them? Or

1 are we going to do Zoom -- offer them a Zoom or
2 GoToMeeting?

3 MR. CHAIR: Again, this is up to the
4 discretion of the Commission as a whole body.
5 I'll give my opinion on that as an answer to
6 the question. I think it kind of is incumbent
7 upon us, given that it is a very competitive
8 position and we do have nationwide interest and
9 that we're only going to be selecting one
10 person, to go ahead and offer some sort of
11 virtual ability to appear before the Commission
12 so that we don't have to have everybody flying
13 in, the majority of which are not going to be
14 selected for the job.

15 MS. BROWN: Right.

16 MR. CHAIR: I think that's just the right
17 thing to do from my part, but we can put that
18 to -- we can put that to a vote as part of the
19 motion to move forward on the list when we get
20 there.

21 MS. BROWN: I think that's appropriate.

22 And I was just going to also provide
23 additional comment on the round -- the job
24 applicants. There were so many qualified
25 people, it is -- I mean, as you said, at least

1 25 could easily accommodate. There's a group
2 of really experienced professionals. One did
3 not make the list that Executive Director
4 mentioned, but just stuck out from my
5 perspective, a gentleman by the name of K.
6 Brian London. He is not even in the United
7 States right this second, it looks like from
8 his resume, but if you look at it, it is -- he
9 looks extremely impressive. And I'd love the
10 opportunity to talk with him. I think it would
11 be great if the Commissioners look -- paid a
12 little attention to that resume, too.

13 That's all.

14 MR. CHAIR: All right. So, Commissioners,
15 hearing discussion, my preference would be that
16 that we do accommodate both Commissioners who
17 want to add a name to the list. Eight, I do
18 not believe is too heavy for us to manageably
19 vet and independently interview. It starts to
20 get a little bit heavy for the next meeting
21 when we do the public interviews, that can run
22 a little bit long. I am more than willing to
23 accommodate -- to accommodate eight public
24 interviews at that meeting. We'll just have to
25 be conscientious of moving the time along.

1 And, again, I defer to you.

2 That particular topic of do we want to
3 address all eight is -- I want to open the
4 floor for discussion on that.

5 MR. D'AQUILA: Chairman, I believe eight
6 in a combination of virtual and live might be
7 somewhat awkward. I might recommend -- or for
8 consideration that all interviews be virtual
9 because it might be unfair to those that are
10 virtual and present and presenting where others
11 are live. Just having been in this situation
12 in the past just for consideration. Everyone
13 is on equal footing in that manner.

14 Secondly, I wonder if we can make a goal
15 of having a two-step interview process whereby
16 we narrow it down to three -- two or three for
17 the meeting which I believe is on the 27th, if
18 my memory's correct. Just for consideration,
19 discussion.

20 MR. CHAIR: Other Commissioners?

21 MR. YAWORSKY: Mr. Chair, just one
22 first -- one clarification question on the
23 process. During the intervening period of
24 today, whatever the result of today's
25 discussion is, and the next meeting, is there

1 a -- is staff going to go ahead and invite
2 those individuals to begin a -- fill out the
3 process information for background checks and
4 other things so if the Commission is prepared
5 to make a decision at the next meeting, that
6 they can hit the ground running with all
7 prechecks and clearances already hopefully
8 taken care of?

9 MR. CHAIR: There would -- if we do that
10 today, then, yes, there would at least be a
11 headstart. I don't know that the background
12 process will be complete as of July 27th for
13 them to be able to immediately hit the ground
14 running. But, yes, they would at least get a
15 three-week headstart on getting that done. I
16 think that's an excellent idea.

17 With that said, Commissioner D'Aquila,
18 I -- I will defer to the body. I kind of like
19 the idea of the applicants who are here in
20 Florida actually appearing live. To the extent
21 that that offers a little bit of inequity for
22 the folks who are applying out of state, I'm
23 going to candidly point out that the folks from
24 out of state already do come with at least a
25 little bit of a built-in handicap in that one

1 of the benefits for folks who are working in
2 Florida is their experience working with CJSTC,
3 working with FDLE's professional standards
4 division, having the knowledge and insight on
5 how to work with Florida's law enforcement
6 regulatory bodies to get us up and running very
7 fast.

8 So, with that said, the folks who are
9 applying from out of state, this is their
10 opportunity to come before us, hit a grand
11 slam, knock our socks off. Notwithstanding
12 whatever -- however far behind they might be in
13 the race, they're still the best person. But
14 that -- that inequity, I think, is already
15 built in. And I don't think we exacerbate it
16 too much by having them do a virtual meeting
17 while we have folks who can actually appear in
18 person come in person.

19 I find a certain value of having them
20 there and actually being able to see them live.
21 If that doesn't cause too much consternation
22 amongst the other commissioners.

23 MR. D'AQUILA: So it will be their choice
24 and their experience if they decide to appear
25 live; is that correct? Or are we -- expense

1 of -- the earlier question that was raised?

2 MR. CHAIR: I don't think that we should
3 cover the expense for them to appear live. I
4 don't know that that's a good use of public
5 funds at this point. I think they have a fair
6 enough shot that we don't have to spend public
7 money to make it even more overtly fair. But
8 that's -- again, I'm one of five opinions here,
9 so --

10 MR. DRAGO: Chairman, if I could just pipe
11 in here a little bit. I think there are some
12 issues with virtual and appearing in person.
13 However, I think that if we invite everyone to
14 come in in person and they -- some choose not
15 to and who would rather do it virtually because
16 they don't want to spend money or don't have
17 time or whatever the case may be, that we then
18 allow them to do that, but then they do that at
19 their own risk, so to speak, not coming in in
20 person.

21 Florida people will most likely come in in
22 person. And some out of state people may
23 decide they want to come in in person. I agree
24 that I don't think we should pay for that
25 transportation. I think that -- that's up to

1 them if they want to -- if they really want the
2 job, they'll probably come down in person and
3 be willing to pay for it because that's -- I
4 mean, that's how it works. Generally speaking,
5 in the public sector, especially in law
6 enforcement, people looking for police chiefs
7 jobs or whatnot, they're on their own in many
8 cases, some will pay for them, many cases --

9 So I think that if we allow them to do
10 that, we don't disqualify them if they don't
11 show up in person, but we allow them to do
12 that. And if they choose to do it virtually,
13 then they still can do it.

14 MR. CHAIR: That sounds like a very fair
15 balance to me. I'm a pretty big fan of
16 personal choice.

17 Moving on to the other part of the
18 question. Commissioners, how do you feel about
19 the list? Is eight names too burdensome for a
20 public meeting on the 27th? Do we want to make
21 the attempt to discuss candidates to narrow at
22 this point? Or do we want to see if we can do
23 the heavy lift for eight people?

24 MS. BROWN: Chair, I was going to suggest,
25 because after we talk -- and I don't know if

1 I'm frozen here or if you can just hear me --

2 MR. CHAIR: I hear you great.

3 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

4 I was going to suggest -- because after we
5 talked to these candidates, some we may not
6 want to even have them present before us, you
7 know. We haven't had the opportunity yet to
8 even to screen them. I was going to suggest
9 filtering -- giving the executive director our
10 top three candidates to interview and that
11 could whittle down the list a bit further after
12 we've had an opportunity to talk with all
13 eight -- is that eight candidates?

14 MR. CHAIR: I think that that's a
15 perfectly reasonable approach. And
16 Commissioner D'Aquila, I'm sorry in my
17 responses to the multiple questions that came
18 up, I think you had made a similar suggestion
19 as well that I didn't follow-up on, I
20 apologize. I'm happy to do that. I want to
21 point out to the Commission the cost of that
22 would just probably be a little bit more delay
23 in the meeting because I don't believe we can
24 narrow the list prior to meeting on the 27th
25 unless we call another Commission meeting.

1 And we can do that. We can all another --
2 we can call a limited agenda Zoom meeting like
3 this prior to the meeting on the 27th just to
4 give him our top three if that's what -- if
5 that's what your desire would be. I don't
6 think we want to push this too much past the
7 27th. To my mind, as of last Friday, we had a
8 public charge to start enforcing the criminal
9 gaming laws in Florida and just don't have the
10 infrastructure to do that yet. So I think
11 there's an onus on us to move quickly, to the
12 extent we can.

13 With that said, I'll defer to the body
14 again.

15 MS. BROWN: Mr. Trombetta, do you have any
16 opinion on how to whittle the list down a
17 little bit more?

18 MR. TROMBETTA: No, I mean, I'd be happy
19 to do that. I think that might make the next
20 meeting go a little bit smoother, but I was
21 actually going to -- sorry, to pass this one on
22 to Ross, general counsel, and see if he has any
23 thoughts on whether we need have a second
24 meeting just to narrow the list down or if
25 that's something that could be done, you know,

1 kind of out of the Sunshine, that you guys kind
2 of give me your top three and then we have
3 those -- you know, I kind of find whatever -- I
4 don't know how you want to narrow it, but if
5 like -- you know, if at least two commissioners
6 have somebody listed as an interview, then I
7 can go forward. If you guys come up with a
8 number like that -- I mean, I'd prefer a second
9 opinion here, but I think that can be done
10 outside of Sunshine as long as you put it in a
11 box here today, tell me what to do. You could
12 follow-up with your applicants, then we can
13 narrow it down in some order that you guys tell
14 me to do today. And then we can kind of go
15 from there if that's the direction you guys
16 want to move forward as a body.

17 Ross, do you have any thoughts? Am I off
18 here?

19 MR. YAWORSKY: I'm sorry, real quick, if I
20 could jump in here. I would just kind of
21 present a counter-argument. I think that while
22 eight candidates can be -- it could be a --
23 wind up being a long meeting. Or if we want to
24 have a future meeting to narrow it down to
25 three, I personally think it would be best for

1 us to do that in a meeting format rather than
2 an email or some sort of off-record exchange --
3 not off the record, but an exchange and compile
4 based on the feedback on the individual
5 commissioners. I think it would be better to
6 have that discussion in a public setting at a
7 meeting, whether we do it in an intervening
8 meeting or if we just go ahead and decide to
9 interview all eight. While it seems like it
10 would be a long meeting, this is a -- this is a
11 big job. And I think that eight candidates is
12 not unreasonable when you look at all the
13 applicants we had and the caliber of applicants
14 that we received. I think that it may be a
15 good exercise of our time to -- personally, I
16 think it might be a good exercise of our time
17 to meet publicly and have a discussion or --
18 and then whittle it or make a decision there.
19 But I -- that would be my preference on --

20 MR. DRAGO: I agree with Commissioner
21 Yaworsky on that. And I think that not only is
22 it important to do, I think in the Sunshine,
23 but I think that if we do it as a group, some
24 of us may ask questions that may enlighten
25 somebody else where they may have said, no, I

1 don't really like this person, but they didn't
2 really -- they didn't ask this particular
3 question or that particular question.

4 I think the breadth of knowledge here on
5 this Commission will help each of us ask
6 questions we may not have thought about or so
7 forth and have a much better opportunity to
8 interview and dig in deep to these candidates.
9 Because like everyone's saying, they're all
10 very qualified people. It's going to come down
11 to, you know, hairline decisions on some of
12 them. So I think that it should be -- it
13 should be the Commission that decides that.
14 And I wouldn't want to see us eliminate
15 anybody, even though I agree, everybody's --
16 what everybody's saying in terms of eight
17 people, it's going to be a long meeting, but
18 I -- I think it's necessary. And I think it
19 will be beneficial not only to the Agency but
20 to the individuals that are having an
21 opportunity to face the whole board.

22 MR. CHAIR: So I agree with the vice chair
23 and Commissioner Drago mostly because I think
24 the heavy lift of doing a long meeting on the
25 27th and interviewing everybody on the 27th is

1 certainly the lesser evil of any risks that we
2 would take of bumping up against the -- putting
3 our toes on the line and be open to government
4 law. I'd rather just do it as above board as
5 possible. And if that requires a little bit
6 more work on our part, then like I said, I
7 think that's the lesser evil.

8 With that, Commissioners, I think what we
9 would ask for is a motion to move forward with
10 the list presented by the executive director as
11 amended by Commissioner D'Aquila and
12 Commissioner Brown, and that we can invite them
13 for public interview on the 27th. In the
14 interim between the 27th and now, we would each
15 conduct our own interviews. And I believe
16 Dixie will be available to each commissioner to
17 coordinate those interviews for you.

18 With that said, I just rambled for a long
19 time on describing the motion I think we need.
20 But it is a motion to proceed with the list
21 provided by the executive director and as
22 amended.

23 MS. BROWN: So moved with the caveat that
24 they may be given the opportunity to appear on
25 the 27th virtually.

1 MR. CHAIR: Indeed, indeed.

2 So we have a motion to move forward with
3 the list as amended and provide the opportunity
4 to appear virtually, if necessary.

5 Do I have a second?

6 MR. D'AQUILA: Second.

7 MR. CHAIR: And any discussion? Any
8 debate?

9 Hearing none, the motion carries.

10 Thank you.

11 Mr. Trombetta, can we move on to the
12 agenda item number two?

13 MR. TROMBETTA: Yes, sir. So, second
14 agenda item has to do with the transfer of
15 Greyhound tax credit. So this is an item that
16 you guys, as commissioners, will be getting a
17 few times a year. I think we handle about 12
18 to 15 of these a year.

19 Essentially -- so this one factually on
20 June 9th, Bonita-Fort Myers, a Greyhound permit
21 holder, requested to transfer \$260,000 of its
22 tax credits to Daytona, another Greyhound
23 permit holder. By statute, all Greyhound
24 permit holders are provided some amount of tax
25 credits annually that they're also to then

1 transfer if certain conditions are met.

2 The Commission of PMW has reviewed this
3 request and has confirmed that all relevant
4 conditions are satisfied. So this action,
5 which is -- by statute, needs Commission
6 approval. I'm going to recommend, based on the
7 information contained in the meeting materials
8 which have been provided and that will be
9 included in the record, and the recommendation
10 made by the Division, I ask that the Commission
11 take up for consideration and approval the
12 request to transfer these tax credits.

13 MR. CHAIR: Commissioners, any questions?
14 Any debate?

15 Do I hear a motion as described by the
16 director?

17 MR. DRAGO: I'd like to make a motion to
18 accept and approve.

19 MR. CHAIR: Do I have a second?

20 MR. D'AQUILA: Second.

21 MR. CHAIR: Any objection?

22 Hearing none, show the motion carries.

23 Mr. Trombetta, item number three.

24 MR. TROMBETTA: Okay. The third and final
25 item of today has to do with the discussion of

1 bar dues. So state agencies in general make
2 decisions about payment of bar dues for
3 attorneys. We are in a little bit of a unique
4 situation because of the way the authority is
5 provided for the statute for the executive
6 director.

7 So, again, as you mentioned in item one,
8 just to be clear, I wanted to put this on
9 agenda. It's my understanding that as the
10 executive director, I'm responsible for
11 supervising, administering, directing,
12 coordinating all activities necessary to help
13 the Commission fulfill its duties. I believe
14 having attorneys that are members of the bar as
15 part of our organization are critical to this
16 need. So I'm requesting that you guys
17 authorize me to make a formal document that
18 contains the kind of requirements for having
19 the gaming commission pay bar dues for its
20 member attorneys.

21 MR. CHAIR: Commission members, any
22 questions?

23 Do I hear a motion as requested by the
24 executive director?

25 MS. BROWN: Move to approve as provided.

1 MR. CHAIR: And do I hear a second?

2 MR. YAWORSKY: Second.

3 MR. CHAIR: I'm sorry?

4 MR. YAWORSKY: Second.

5 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

6 Do I have any opposition?

7 Hearing none, show the motion carries.

8 Thank you, Mr. Trombetta.

9 Commissioners, I do just want to point out
10 that the last two agenda items, they're not the
11 type of things that we will typically put on
12 our limited agendas. But would be sort of
13 reserved for our general business meeting. The
14 only reason of which, of course, is with an
15 upcoming conference, we had to push back the
16 meeting date for our July meeting and these
17 were some timely issues that needed to be done
18 before date certain. So, apologies for
19 extending out the limited agenda that should --
20 we will try to be normally doing that during
21 our normal business meetings.

22 With that, Commissioners, is there
23 anything else just for the good of the order?

24 Do I have a motion to adjourn?

25 MR. D'AQUILA: Motion to adjourn.

1 MR. CHAIR: And a second?

2 MR. DRAGO: Second.

3 MR. CHAIR: Show us adjourned.

4 Thank you very much, all.

5 (Meeting concluded at 10:33 a.m.)

6 * * *

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LEON

I, Tracy Brown, certify that I was
authorized to and did stenographically report
the foregoing remote proceedings, and that the
transcript is a true and complete record of my
stenographic notes.

Dated this 6th day of August, 2022.



TRACY L. BROWN
1551 Forum Place, Suite 200-E
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
888-811-3408

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">\$</p> <hr/> <p>\$260,000 25:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/> <p>10:02 3:2</p> <p>10:33 29:5</p> <p>12 25:17</p> <p>15 25:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/> <p>25 6:18 13:1</p> <p>27th 7:6 10:4 14:17 15:12 18:20 19:24 20:3,7 23:25 24:13,14,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/> <p>30 5:3</p> <p>35 6:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p>6th 3:4</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <hr/> <p>9th 25:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <hr/> <p>A'CIVEZ 5:21</p> <p>a.m. 3:3 29:5</p> <p>ability 6:20 12:11</p> <p>Absolutely 3:22</p> <p>abundance 6:15</p> <p>accept 26:18</p> <p>accommodate 11:10,25 13:1,16,23</p> <p>acted 8:23</p> <p>action 26:4</p> <p>activities 27:12</p> <p>add 11:19 13:17</p> <p>added 7:14</p> <p>additional 5:9 11:19 12:23</p> <p>address 3:15 14:3</p>	<p>adjourn 28:24,25</p> <p>adjourned 29:3</p> <p>administering 27:11</p> <p>administrative 8:24</p> <p>adoption 3:11</p> <p>advertise 6:5</p> <p>advertisement 5:1 8:2</p> <p>agencies 27:1</p> <p>Agency 23:19</p> <p>agenda 3:5,10,16 4:3,18,24 20:2 25:12,14 27:9 28:10,19</p> <p>agendas 28:12</p> <p>agree 10:10 17:23 22:20 23:15, 22</p> <p>ahead 4:2 8:5 12:10 15:1 22:8</p> <p>Allegiance 3:20,24</p> <p>amended 24:11,22 25:3</p> <p>amount 25:24</p>	<p>annually 25:25</p> <p>apologies 28:18</p> <p>apologize 19:20</p> <p>appearing 15:20 17:12</p> <p>applicant 10:7,10,12</p> <p>applicants 5:9 6:13 7:3 8:4 9:25 12:24 15:19 21:12 22:13</p> <p>application 6:15</p> <p>applications 5:3,4 6:6 8:5</p> <p>applying 15:22 16:9</p> <p>approach 19:15</p> <p>approval 26:6,11</p> <p>approve 26:18 27:25</p> <p>attempt 18:21</p> <p>attention 13:12</p> <p>attorneys 27:3,14,20</p> <p>authority 27:4</p> <p>authorize 27:17</p>
--	---	---	--

<p>awkward 14:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>back 9:14 28:15</p> <p>background 10:1 15:3,11</p> <p>balance 7:17 8:6 18:15</p> <p>bar 4:22 27:1,2, 14,19</p> <p>based 6:6,8 7:5 22:4 26:6</p> <p>bear 4:15</p> <p>began 3:2</p> <p>begin 15:2</p> <p>beneficial 23:19</p> <p>benefits 16:1</p> <p>big 18:15 22:11</p> <p>bit 5:12,14 7:24 9:19 13:20,22 15:21,25 17:11 19:11, 22 20:17,20 24:5 27:3</p> <p>blend 8:19</p>	<p>board 23:21 24:4</p> <p>bodies 16:6</p> <p>body 12:4 15:18 20:13 21:16</p> <p>Bonita-fort 25:20</p> <p>box 21:11</p> <p>breadth 23:4</p> <p>Brian 13:6</p> <p>briefly 6:1 7:18,19</p> <p>Brown 9:5 11:17 12:15,21 18:24 19:3 20:15 24:12, 23 27:25</p> <p>built 16:15</p> <p>built-in 15:25</p> <p>bumping 24:2</p> <p>burden 6:15</p> <p>burdensome 18:19</p> <p>business 3:14 28:13,21</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>caliber 22:13</p> <p>call 3:4 19:25 20:2</p> <p>candidates 8:1 9:13 10:15 18:21 19:5,10,13 21:22 22:11 23:8</p> <p>candidly 15:23</p> <p>Cannon 5:19</p> <p>capture 8:2,19</p> <p>care 10:20 15:8</p> <p>Carl 5:19</p> <p>carries 25:9 26:22 28:7</p> <p>case 17:17</p> <p>cases 18:8</p> <p>caveat 24:23</p> <p>chair 3:4,25 4:9,12 5:11,22,25 9:3,7,19 11:1,21 12:3, 16 13:14</p>	<p>14:20,21 15:9 17:2 18:14,24 19:2,14 23:22 25:1,7 26:13, 19,21 27:21 28:1,3,5 29:1,3</p> <p>Chairman 3:19,25 9:4, 11 11:16 14:5 17:10</p> <p>charge 20:8</p> <p>checks 15:3</p> <p>chiefs 18:6</p> <p>choice 16:23 18:16</p> <p>choose 17:14 18:12</p> <p>CJSTC 16:2</p> <p>clarification 14:22</p> <p>clarify 5:23 6:25 9:17</p> <p>clear 6:7 27:8</p> <p>clearances 15:7</p> <p>closely 6:21</p> <p>combination 9:19 14:6</p> <p>comfortable 9:22,24</p>
--	--	---	--

comment 3:12 12:23	29:5	credits 25:22,25 26:12	defer 4:6 6:22 9:5 11:13 14:1 15:18 20:13
comments 4:4	conditions 26:1,4	criminal 20:8	delay 19:22
commission 3:6,14 4:1 5:8 7:25 10:3,8 11:5, 14 12:4,11 15:4 19:21,25 23:5,13 26:2, 5,10 27:13, 19,21	conduct 24:15	criteria 6:6	describing 24:19
commissioner 9:7,20,23 10:5 11:9,21 15:17 19:16 22:20 23:23 24:11,12,16 28:5	conference 28:15	critical 27:15	desire 9:21 20:5
commissioners 4:13 7:1 13:11,14,16 14:20 16:22 18:18 21:5 22:5 24:8 25:16 26:13 28:9,22	confirmed 26:3	cut 9:4	dig 23:8
competitive 12:7	conscientious 13:25	<hr/> D <hr/>	directed 6:4
compile 22:3	consideration 5:6 6:10 7:14 14:8,12,18 26:11	D'AQUILA 7:12 9:1 11:9 14:5 15:17 16:23 19:16 24:11 25:6 26:20 28:25	directing 27:11
complete 15:12	consternation 16:21	date 28:16,18	direction 21:15
completed 6:14	contained 26:7	Daytona 25:22	directly 10:6
complex 8:11,20	Control 3:6	deals 4:24	director 4:19 5:1 6:4, 19 7:10,16 13:3 19:9 24:10,21 26:16 27:6, 10,24
concluded	coordinate 24:17	debate 25:8 26:14	director's 6:22
	coordinating 27:12	decide 16:24 17:23 22:8	discretion 12:4
	correct 14:18 16:25	decides 23:13	discuss 18:21
	cost 19:21	decision 15:5 22:18	discussion 6:2 7:11,24 10:8 13:15 14:4,19,25 22:6,17 25:7 26:25
	counsel 20:22	decisions 23:11 27:2	
	counter-argument 21:21	deep 23:8	
	cover 17:3		
	credit 4:20 25:15		

disqualify 18:10	enforcement 4:19 5:2	13:2	filtering 19:9
division 4:19 5:1 8:18 16:4 26:10	6:17,19 8:9, 22,25 16:5 18:6	explain 7:16	final 26:24
Dixie 24:16	enforcing 20:8	extending 28:19	find 10:9 16:19 21:3
document 27:17	enlighten 22:24	extent 9:20,21 15:20 20:12	finding 6:8
drafted 8:1	equal 14:13	extremely 13:9	flag 3:21
Drago 9:2,6,7,8 10:19 11:16, 17,21 17:10 22:20 23:23 26:17 29:2	Essentially 25:19	<hr/> F <hr/>	floor 4:8 14:4
Drake 5:20	everybody's 23:15,16	face 23:21	Florida 3:5 15:20 16:2 17:21 20:9
dues 27:1,2,19	everyone's 23:9	fact 6:8	Florida's 16:5
duties 27:13	evil 24:1,7	factually 25:19	flying 12:12
<hr/> E <hr/>	exacerbate 16:15	fair 17:5,7 18:14	folks 6:9 9:16 10:3 11:22 15:22, 23 16:1,8,17
earlier 17:1	excellent 15:16	fan 18:15	follow-up 11:20 19:19 21:12
easily 6:18 13:1	exchange 22:2,3	fast 16:7	footing 14:13
eliminate 23:14	executive 6:4,22 7:10, 15 13:3 19:9 24:10,21 27:5,10,24	FDLE's 16:3	formal 27:17
eliminated 10:11	exercise 22:15,16	feedback 22:4	format 22:1
elimination 10:15	expense 16:25 17:3	feel 4:5 9:22 18:18	forum 10:17
email 22:2	experience 7:17,18 8:11, 15,20 16:2,24	feeling 6:21	forward 5:18 10:25
	experienced	fees 4:22	
		fill 15:2	

12:19 21:7,16 24:9 25:2	28:23	Harold	identified
Friday	Gotomeeting	5:20	6:7
20:7	12:2	headstart	Illinois
frozen	government	15:11,15	11:24
19:1	24:3	hear	immediately
fulfill	grand	4:10 19:1,2	15:13
27:13	16:10	26:15 27:23	important
full	great	28:1	22:22
11:7	13:11 19:2	hearing	impressive
funds	Greyhound	4:7 13:15	13:9
11:25 17:5	25:15,20,22,	25:9 26:22	inclined
future	23	28:7	3:19
21:24	ground	heavy	include
<hr/>	15:6,13	11:12 13:18,	5:8 8:14 11:8
G	group	20 18:23	included
<hr/>	13:1 22:23	23:24	26:9
gaming	guess	helping	incredibly
3:5 4:19 6:19	9:9 10:20	8:17	6:16
20:9 27:19	guideline	higher	incumbent
general	11:3	8:22	12:6
3:12 20:22	guys	highly	independently
27:1 28:13	7:25 21:1,7,	6:16	7:2 13:19
Generally	13,15 25:16	hired	indicating
18:4	27:16	5:7	6:8
gentleman	<hr/>	hit	individual
13:5	H	15:6,13 16:10	9:23,25 22:4
give	hairline	holder	individuals
12:5 20:4	23:11	25:21,23	6:17 15:2
21:2	handicap	holders	23:20
giving	15:25	25:24	inequity
19:9	handle	hoping	15:21 16:14
goal	25:17	3:21	information
14:14	happy	housekeeping	5:12 15:3
going-forward	3:22 19:20	3:8	26:7
8:13	20:18	<hr/>	infrastructure
good	hard	I	20:10
3:7 4:2 8:14	11:2	idea	initial
17:4 22:15,16		15:16,19	5:5 6:9,24,25

7:5	issues	5:5,14,16,17	20:16,24
initially	17:12 28:17	8:2,16,19	24:10,20 25:3
8:18	item	12:6 15:18	listed
insight	4:23 25:12,	21:1,3,14,20	21:6
16:4	14,15 26:23,	27:18	live
intent	25 27:7	knock	14:6,11 15:20
8:3	items	16:11	16:20,25 17:3
interest	3:15 4:17	knowledge	London
12:8	28:10	16:4 23:4	13:6
interim	<hr/>	Kozlina	long
24:14	J	5:19	13:22 21:10,
internal	<hr/>	<hr/>	23 22:10
4:22	job	L	23:17,24
intervening	12:14,23 18:2	<hr/>	24:18
14:23 22:7	22:11	law	lot
interview	jobs	5:2 6:17 8:8,	6:16
7:2,6 9:13,25	18:7	22,25 16:5	love
10:4,14 13:19	John	18:5 24:4	13:9
14:15 19:10	7:12	Lawrence	<hr/>
21:6 22:9	joining	7:13	M
23:8 24:13	3:9	laws	<hr/>
interviewing	Joseph	20:9	made
23:25	5:19	lead	6:18 8:19
interviews	Julie	3:20 4:1	19:18 26:10
9:16 13:21,24	9:4	lesser	majority
14:8 24:15,17	July	24:1,7	12:13
investigation	3:4 15:12	level	make
8:11	28:16	8:22 9:23	13:3 14:14
investigations	jump	lift	15:5 17:7
7:17 8:20	4:3 21:20	11:12 18:23	18:20 20:19
invite	June	23:24	22:18 26:17
7:4 10:2 15:1	25:20	limited	27:1,17
17:13 24:12	<hr/>	3:5,10 6:20	makes
involving	K	20:2 28:12,19	9:23
4:22	<hr/>	list	making
issue	Kelly	9:12 10:25	8:5
4:22	7:13 11:9	11:6,23 12:19	manage
	kind	13:3,17 18:19	8:21
	4:13,15,16	19:11,24	manageable

<p>11:5,15 manageably 13:18 management 7:18 8:15 manager 8:10 manner 14:13 materials 26:7 matter 3:13 meet 22:17 meeting 3:4,5,10,11, 12,14,16 4:14,25 6:4 7:20,23 9:15, 16 10:3,22 13:20,24 14:17,25 15:5 16:16 18:20 19:23,24,25 20:2,3,20,24 21:23,24 22:1,7,8,10 23:17,24 26:7 28:13,16 29:5 meetings 28:21 member 27:20 members 4:1 7:11 10:9 11:5,14 27:14,21</p>	<p>memory's 14:18 mention 5:10 mentioned 7:23 13:4 27:7 met 26:1 Michael 5:20 mind 20:7 minimums 8:7 minutes 3:11 money 17:7,16 morning 3:7 motion 12:19 24:9, 19,20 25:2,9 26:15,17,22 27:23 28:7, 24,25 move 10:24 12:19 20:11 21:16 24:9 25:2,11 27:25 moved 24:23 moving 13:25 18:17 multiple 19:17</p>	<p>Myers 25:20 <hr/> N <hr/> names 5:24 11:8 18:19 narrow 11:6 14:16 18:21 19:24 20:24 21:4, 13,24 narrowing 10:16 nationwide 12:8 needed 28:17 normal 28:21 notes 3:8 5:15 Notwithstanding 16:11 number 10:21 11:2 21:8 25:12 26:23 <hr/> O <hr/> objection 4:4 26:21 off-record 22:2 offer 12:1,10 offers</p>	<p>15:21 officers 8:9,23 onus 20:11 open 6:1 7:9 10:7 14:3 24:3 operations 8:23 opinion 11:1 12:5 20:16 21:9 opinions 17:8 opportunity 6:12 10:6 13:10 16:10 19:7,12 23:7, 21 24:24 25:3 opposition 28:6 order 3:6 5:16 21:13 28:23 organization 27:15 organizations 8:25 outstanding 6:19 overtly 17:7 <hr/> P <hr/> paid 13:11</p>
---	---	---	---

part 12:17,18 18:17 24:6 27:15	phonetic 5:19,20,21	pressed 11:2	push 20:6 28:15
pass 20:21	pipe 17:10	pretty 18:15	put 5:18 12:17,18 21:10 27:8 28:11
past 7:19 14:12 20:6	pledge 3:18,20,24	primarily 8:16	putting 24:2
pay 11:22 17:24 18:3,8 27:19	PMW 26:2	prior 19:24 20:3	<hr/> Q <hr/>
payment 4:22 27:2	point 7:8 15:23 17:5 18:22 19:21 28:9	proceed 3:23 24:20	qualifications 7:7
people 5:5 6:10 8:14,19,21 12:25 17:21, 22 18:6,23 23:10,17	police 18:6	proceedings 3:2	qualified 6:17 12:24 23:10
perfectly 19:15	policy 8:24	process 5:12 6:1,16 9:9 14:15,23 15:3,12	question 7:15 9:2,8 10:6,20 11:20 12:6 14:22 17:1 18:18 23:3
period 14:23	pool 5:9 10:12,16	professional 16:3	questions 5:22 6:2 7:10 11:18 19:17 22:24 23:6 26:13 27:22
permit 25:20,23,24	position 5:7 6:5 12:8	professionals 13:2	quick 21:19
person 11:20 12:10 16:13,18 17:12,14,20, 22,23 18:2,11 23:1	prechecks 15:7	provide 5:11 12:22 25:3	quickly 20:11
personal 18:16	prefer 21:8	provided 7:23 24:21 25:24 26:8 27:5,25	<hr/> R <hr/>
personally 21:25 22:15	preference 13:15 22:19	public 3:12 4:14 7:5 10:4,17 13:21,23 17:4,6 18:5, 20 20:8 22:6 24:13	race 16:13
perspective 8:13 13:5	prepare 4:25	publicly 22:17	raised 17:1
	prepared 15:4	purview 7:2	rambled 24:18
	present 9:14 14:10 19:6 21:21		
	presented 24:10		
	presenting 14:10		

randomly 5:16	requested 4:23 25:21 27:23	round 5:5 6:10,24, 25 7:5 12:23	28:7 29:3
reach 9:24	requesting 27:16	run 8:17 13:21	side 8:24
real 21:19	requirements 8:7 27:18	running 15:6,14 16:6	similar 11:18 19:18
realm 11:18	requires 24:5	<hr/> S <hr/>	sir 7:22 25:13
reason 28:14	reserved 3:13 28:13	sake 6:20	situation 14:11 27:4
reasonable 19:15	responses 19:17	satisfaction 7:4 10:2	slam 16:11
received 5:2 22:14	responsible 27:10	satisfied 26:4	slow 5:14
recommend 7:13 14:7 26:6	result 14:24	screen 19:8	smoother 20:20
recommendation 10:13 26:9	resume 6:14 13:8,12	sector 18:5	socks 16:11
recommendations 5:13 6:23 8:6,18	resumes 5:17	select 3:16	sooner 4:11
recommended 11:23	review 6:5,24 7:1 10:1	selected 12:14	sort 4:21 7:25 12:10 22:2 28:12
recommending 5:4	reviewed 5:3 26:2	selecting 12:9	sounds 18:14
record 22:3 26:9	reviewing 8:4	selection 7:7 9:14	speak 6:12 17:19
regular 3:14	risk 17:19	serving 8:8,9	speaking 18:4
regulatory 16:6	risks 24:1	set 8:17	specifically 4:24
relevant 26:3	Robert 5:20	setting 22:6	spend 17:6,16
reminder 5:6	role 8:16	shot 17:6	spoke 6:16
request 26:3,12	Ross 20:22 21:17	show 18:11 26:22	staff 15:1

standards 16:3	18:25 19:12	3:16 14:24	unfair 14:9
start 3:8,17 4:5 20:8	talked 19:5	toes 24:3	unique 8:16 27:3
starts 13:19	tax 4:20 25:15, 22,24 26:12	top 19:10 20:4 21:2	United 13:6
state 15:22,24 16:9 17:22 27:1	terms 23:16	topic 14:2	unreasonable 22:12
States 13:7	thing 12:17	touch 5:25	upcoming 28:15
statute 5:7 25:23 26:5 27:5	things 3:11 15:4 28:11	touched 7:19	<hr/> v <hr/>
step 9:17	thinks 6:9,23	transfer 4:20 25:14,21 26:1,12	vet 7:3 9:13 10:1 13:19
straight 4:3	thought 23:6	transportation 17:25	vice 3:19,25 23:22
stuck 13:4	thoughts 20:23 21:17	travel 11:22	Vincent 5:21
subject 3:13	three-week 15:15	Trombetta 4:7,9 7:16,22 20:15,18 25:11,13 26:23,24 28:8	virtual 12:11 14:6,8, 10 16:16 17:12
suggest 11:4 18:24 19:4,8	time 7:21 8:8,9 10:4 13:25 17:17 22:15, 16 24:19	turned 6:13	virtually 4:14 17:15 18:12 24:25 25:4
suggestion 19:18	timely 28:17	two-step 14:15	visible 3:21
Sunshine 21:1,10 22:22	times 25:17	type 28:11	vote 7:5 10:9 12:18
supervising 27:11	Timothy 5:19	typically 28:11	<hr/> w <hr/>
sworn 8:22	today 4:18 9:10 14:24 15:10 21:11,14 26:25	<hr/> U <hr/>	wanted 8:14 27:8
<hr/> T <hr/>	today's	understand 9:10	whatnot
talk 10:24 13:10		understanding 27:9	

18:7

whittle

10:23 19:11

20:16 22:18

wind

21:23

work

6:11,23 8:12

16:5 24:6

working

16:1,2,3

works

18:4

Y

Yaworsky

3:22 14:21

21:19 22:21

28:2,4

year

25:17,18

Yop

5:20

Z

Zoom

12:1 20:2